Individual and Area Level Factors Associated with Firearm Mortality among U.S. Army Veterans and Servicemembers

Robert Bossarte, Jingning Ao, Catherine Dempsey, David Benedek, James West, Patricia Spangler, Kelly Zuromski, Matthew Nock, Robert Ursano



Disclaimer

The opinions and assertions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences or the Department of Defense. The contents of this presentation are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views, opinions or policies of The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc.

Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The appearance of U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) visual information does not imply or constitute DoD endorsement.



Background

Critical Statistics

Injuries resulting from a firearm were responsible for nearly two-thirds of all deaths from suicide among Veterans of U.S. military service.

Policy Impact

Policies related to universal background checks and mandatory permits for firearm purchase have been associated with significant differences in rates of firearm mortality.

Implementation Effects

Implementation of restrictive policies and multiple concurrent policies have been associated with the largest reductions in mortality risk.



Background Continued

Firearm Availability and Risk

Greater availability of firearms in the population has been consistently associated with increased risk for suicide mortality. This relationship demonstrates a clear pattern across demographic groups and geographic regions.

Mental Health Associations

Risk of suicide mortality is significantly increased among individuals with depression and other mental health disorders. However, the relationships between mental health status and firearm ownership, use, and mortality are complex.

Previous Research Findings

Previous analyses, including those conducted by members of this research team, identified surprisingly weak and limited associations between mental health disorders and either firearm ownership or carrying behaviors among Veterans.



Research Objectives

The primary objective of this comprehensive longitudinal study was to identify specific associations between individual characteristics, military service history, and area-level policy factors with risk for firearm-related mortality among U.S. Army personnel and Veterans.

01

All-Cause Suicide Mortality

Comprehensive analysis of suicide deaths regardless of method, providing baseline mortality patterns and risk factors.

02

Firearm-Specific Suicide Mortality

Focused examination of suicide deaths specifically resulting from firearm injuries, representing the most lethal method.

03

All-Cause Firearm Mortality

Broad assessment including suicide, accidental, and homicide deaths involving firearms to capture comprehensive firearmrelated mortality patterns.



Data Sources



STARRS All Army Survey Data

Comprehensive self-reported survey responses including gun ownership patterns, gun carrying behavior, lifetime stressful events, and detailed symptoms of mental health disorders from the Pre/Post Deployment Study Consolidated Data File.



National Death Index

Complete mortality data through 2019 including precise date, state of death, and comprehensive cause of death information derived from standardized ICD-9 and ICD-10 diagnostic codes.



RAND Gun Law Database

Detailed state-level firearm legislation data resource containing comprehensive information on gun laws and their specific dates of implementation and enforcement.



Army Administrative Records

Person-month administrative records including Defense Manpower Data Center information, duty location assignments, demographic data, and mental health diagnoses documented during active duty service.



Methodology

Study Design

This longitudinal cohort study examined comprehensive data from the Army STARRS AAS/PPDS consolidated cohort (n=29,823) through the extended period following their initial survey response through 2019.

Residence Tracking

Place of residence was treated as time-varying and systematically obtained from Army administrative records, mailing addresses from survey records, or place of death documentation.

Variable Treatment

All other demographic and clinical variables were treated as fixed over time to maintain analytical consistency and interpretability.

Data Collection Period

Baseline survey data were systematically collected between 2011 and 2012, establishing comprehensive individual and clinical profiles.

Mental Health Assessment

Mental health factors were assessed through both self-report mechanisms and independent administrative records to ensure comprehensive coverage.

Risk Period and Analysis

The period of mortality risk began at baseline survey completion and was normalized to account for variable time at risk. Risk assessment utilized discrete time series models with six-month and twelve-month intervals.



Mortality Outcomes

88

61

81

All-Cause Suicides

Total deaths resulting from suicide across all methods during the study period

Firearm Suicides

Suicide deaths specifically resulting from firearm injuries, representing 69% of all suicides

All Firearm Deaths

Comprehensive firearm-related deaths including suicide, accidental, and homicide

These mortality statistics represent the foundation of our longitudinal analysis, demonstrating the predominance of firearm-related deaths in military suicide patterns and the critical need for comprehensive prevention strategies.



Risk Over Time Analysis

Temporal patterns of mortality risk were analyzed using both twelve-month and six-month interval models to capture both long-term trends and shorter-term variations in risk patterns. This dual analytical approach provides comprehensive insight into the temporal dynamics of firearm mortality risk.

The analysis reveals distinct temporal patterns in mortality risk, with significant implications for targeted intervention timing and resource allocation. Both analytical intervals demonstrate consistent patterns while revealing unique temporal variations that inform prevention strategy development.



Multivariate Analysis: Suicide Mortality

Comprehensive multivariate modeling identified key individual and systemic factors associated with overall suicide mortality risk. This analysis controls for multiple confounding variables to isolate specific risk factors and their relative contributions to mortality outcomes.

The multivariate analysis reveals complex patterns of association between demographic, military service, and clinical factors with suicide mortality risk. Individual characteristics demonstrate varying levels of statistical significance, with some factors showing unexpected relationships that challenge conventional assumptions about suicide risk in military populations.

	All St	uicide Deaths (n=	=88)
	OR	LCI	UCI
Female	0.26	0.06	1.10
AltEd+GED vs H.S.	2.565	1.142	5.759
S/College/+ vs 02.H.S.	0.35	0.12	0.99
Rank: E1/E2 vs 02.E3/E4	5.761	2.54	13.067
Rank: E5/E6 vs 02.E3/E4	1.42	0.64	3.14
Rank: E7+ vs 02.E3/E4	1.10	0.44	2.79
Age at Entry: 17-20 vs 21 - 24	1.08	0.49	2.38
Age at Entry 25+ vs 21 - 24	1.40	0.22	9.00
Fime in Service: 1-2 Years vs 5-10	0.68	0.32	1.45
Fime in Service: 3-4 Years of Service vs 5-10 Years	0.48	0.21	1.09
Fime in Service: .>10 Years vs 5-10 Years of Service	0.35	0.12	1.01
Dependents: 1+ vs 0	0.59	0.30	1.18
PTSD (combined) 1 vs 0	1.706	1.032	2.82
Firearm Access: Any vs 0	0.55	0.18	1.73
Firearm Access 2 vs 0	2.82	0.98	8.14
BgCk_PrivateSales 1 vs 0	1.39	0.64	3.01
BgCk_DealerSales 1 vs 0	0.378	0.17	0.84
DVremoval_required 1 vs 0	0.60	0.29	1.21
CCW_Shallissue vs Noperm	1.73	0.82	3.65
PurchasePermit_maxWait	0.313	0.116	0.844
PP_MH_Incompetent	2.786	1.235	6.282
PP_MH_Comitted	0.61	0.20	1.84



Multivariate Analysis: Firearm Suicide

Focused analysis of firearm-specific suicide mortality reveals distinct risk factor patterns compared to all-cause suicide. This analysis specifically examines factors associated with the most lethal method of suicide among military personnel.

The firearm suicide analysis demonstrates method-specific risk patterns that differ meaningfully from general suicide risk factors. These findings have significant implications for targeted prevention efforts and suggest that firearm-specific interventions may require distinct approaches compared to general suicide prevention strategies.

Notably, certain demographic and service-related factors show stronger associations with firearm suicide than with suicide by other methods, indicating the importance of method-specific risk assessment and intervention strategies.

	Fir	Firearm Suicide (n=61)			
	OR	LCI	UCI		
Female	0.09	0.012	0.69		
AltEd+GED vs H.S.	1.872	0.699	5.011		
S/College/+ vs 02.H.S.	0.445	0.181	1.093		
Rank: E1/E2 vs 02.E3/E4	7	2.557	19.165		
Rank: E5/E6 vs 02.E3/E4	2.339	0.894	6.122		
Rank: E7+ vs 02.E3/E4	1.887	0.626	5.689		
Age at Entry: 17-20 vs 21 - 24	2.753	1.037	7.307		
Age at Entry 25+ vs 21 - 24	4.082	0.954	17.471		
Time in Service: 1-2 Years vs 5-10	0.633	0.311	1.287		
Time in Service: 3-4 Years of Service vs 5-10 Years	0.397	0.139	1.133		
Time in Service: .>10 Years vs 5-10 Years of Service	0.314	0.077	1.279		
Dependents: 1+ vs 0	0.415	0.157	1.101		
PTSD (combined) 1 vs 0	1.631	0.491	5.422		
Firearm Access: Any vs 0	0.772	0.221	2.703		
Firearm Access 2 vs 0	2.108	0.692	6.421		
BgCk_PrivateSales 1 vs 0	1.488	0.48	4.614		
BgCk_DealerSales 1 vs 0	0.268	0.098	0.732		
DVremoval_required 1 vs 0	0.247	0.113	0.543		
CCW_Shallissue vs Noperm	0.427	0.056	3.225		
PurchasePermit_maxWait	0.174	0.047	0.644		
PP_MH_Incompetent	6.79	2.37	19.452		
PP_MH_Comitted	0.604	0.145	2.513		



Multivariate Analysis: All-Cause Firearm Mortality

Comprehensive firearm mortality analysis encompassing suicide, accidental, and homicide deaths provides the broadest perspective on firearm-related risk factors. This inclusive analysis captures the full spectrum of firearm mortality among military personnel.

Comprehensive Risk Assessment

All-cause firearm mortality analysis reveals broader patterns of risk that extend beyond intentional self-harm to include accidental and interpersonal violence outcomes.

Integrated Prevention Implications

Results suggest that comprehensive firearm safety interventions may address multiple mortality outcomes simultaneously, providing efficiency opportunities for prevention programs.

		All Firearm Deaths (n=81)			
	OR	LCI	UCI		
Female	0.14	0.03	0.56		
AltEd+GED vs H.S.	1.503	0.57	3.964		
S/College/+ vs 02.H.S.	0.46	0.21	0.97		
Rank: E1/E2 vs 02.E3/E4	4.84	1.78	13.17		
Rank: E5/E6 vs 02.E3/E4	1.758	0.684	4.518		
Rank: E7+ vs 02.E3/E4	1.45	0.516	4.074		
Age at Entry: 17-20 vs 21 - 24	2.307	0.99	5.379		
Age at Entry 25+ vs 21 - 24	3.085	0.803	11.855		
Time in Service: 1-2 Years vs 5-10	0.675	0.328	1.387		
Time in Service: 3-4 Years of Service vs 5-10 Years	0.388	0.146	1.029		
Time in Service: .>10 Years vs 5-10 Years of Service	0.323	0.096	1.088		
Dependents: 1+ vs 0	0.452	0.187	1.09		
PTSD (combined) 1 vs 0	1.732	0.607	4.949		
Firearm Access: Any vs 0	0.651	0.198	2.144		
Firearm Access 2 vs 0	1.954	0.725	5.267		
BgCk_PrivateSales 1 vs 0	1.427	0.541	3.769		
BgCk_DealerSales 1 vs 0	0.32	0.14	0.75		
DVremoval_required 1 vs 0	0.23	0.11	0.49		
CCW_Shallissue vs Noperm	0.537	0.098	2.956		
PurchasePermit_maxWait	0.15	0.04	0.50		
PP_MH_Incompetent	5.54	2.22	13.82		
PP_MH_Comitted	0.667	0.192	2.319		



Policy Associations with Firearm Mortality

State-level firearm policy analysis reveals significant associations between specific legislative approaches and mortality outcomes. This analysis examines the real-world effectiveness of various policy interventions in reducing firearm mortality risk among military personnel.

The policy analysis demonstrates variable effectiveness across different legislative approaches, with certain policies showing consistent protective effects while others demonstrate unexpected associations. These findings provide critical evidence for policy development and implementation strategies.

Understanding these policy-level associations enables evidence-based recommendations for legislative approaches that may effectively reduce firearm mortality among military populations while respecting constitutional and practical considerations.



Discussion: Key Risk Factors

Individual Risk Factors

In comprehensive multivariate models, risk for firearm suicide was significantly associated with specific demographic and military service characteristics. Gender, military rank at time of survey completion, and age of entry into military service emerged as the most consistent predictors across analytical models.

Mental Health Associations

Lifetime history of PTSD demonstrated significant associations with increased risk for death in bivariate analyses. However, these associations showed varying significance levels depending on the inclusion of other covariates in multivariate models.

Clinical Implications

The complex relationship between PTSD and firearm mortality suggests that mental health screening and intervention strategies may need to account for multiple interacting factors rather than relying on single diagnostic criteria.

Methodological Considerations

The variability in mental health associations across different model specifications highlights the importance of comprehensive analytical approaches that account for multiple potential confounding factors and interaction effects.



Discussion: Policy Effectiveness

Protective Policies

State-level policies significantly associated with lower odds of firearm suicide included mandatory background checks for dealer sales, mandatory firearm removal in cases involving domestic violence, and enforcement of maximum waiting periods following firearm purchase.

Paradoxical Findings

Increased odds of suicide resulting from firearm injury were paradoxically associated with state policies prohibiting firearm ownership among those deemed incompetent based on mental health status, suggesting potential unintended consequences.

Consistent Patterns

Similar associations with state-level firearm policies were consistently observed across all three mortality outcomes, indicating broad effectiveness of certain policy approaches.

These findings provide critical evidence for policy makers considering firearm legislation, demonstrating both the potential benefits and unexpected complexities of different regulatory approaches in reducing military-related firearm mortality.



Summary of Key Findings

1 Mental Health Disorder Associations

Mental health disorders were not significantly associated with firearm suicide or all-cause firearm mortality in fully adjusted multivariate models, challenging conventional assumptions about mental health as a primary risk factor.

3 Policy Effectiveness

Comprehensive policies including mandatory background checks and maximum waiting periods were consistently associated with decreased risk for suicide and all-cause firearm mortality.

2 Firearm Access Relationships

Individual access to firearms was not associated with increased suicide or all-cause firearm mortality in fully adjusted models, suggesting that policy-level interventions may be important additions to individual-level restrictions.*

4 Temporal Risk Patterns

Distinct patterns of risk for suicide and firearm mortality were identified. Changes in firearm-related mortality rates do not fully explain the observed increases in all-means suicide, indicating multiple contributing factors.



Study Limitations

Statistical Power Constraints

Analyses were based on comparatively small event counts across the three mortality outcomes, which may have significantly impacted our ability to identify statistically significant associations and limited the precision of effect size estimates.

Geographic and Policy Assumptions

Assumptions related to individuals' place of residence over time and the corresponding effect of state-level firearm policies may have introduced measurement error and impacted the accuracy of policy association measures.

Policy Impact Measurement

Relationships between firearm legislation and individual-level mortality risk may not accurately reflect the cumulative impact of these policies, as implementation effectiveness varies across jurisdictions and time periods.

Temporal Considerations

The time-varying nature of policy implementation and individual residence patterns creates analytical complexity that may not fully capture the dynamic relationships between policy environments and mortality outcomes.

These limitations should be considered when interpreting study findings and developing policy recommendations, particularly regarding the generalizability of results to broader military and veteran populations.



Contact

rbossarte@usf.edu

For questions regarding this research, methodology, or potential collaborations, please contact the corresponding author. Additional study materials and detailed analytical results may be available upon request.

This research represents ongoing collaboration between the University of South Florida, Uniformed Services University, and The Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine.



References

- 1. OMHSP. National Veterans Suicide Data Report. 2023.
- 2. Siegel M. Universal Background Checks, Permit Requirements, and Firearm Homicide Rates. *JAMA Netw Open*. Aug 1 2024;7(8):e2425025. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.25025
- 3. Schell TL, Smart R, Cefalu M, Griffin BA, Morral AR. State Policies Regulating Firearms and Changes in Firearm Mortality. *JAMA Netw Open*. Jul 1 2024;7(7):e2422948. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.22948
- 4. Kandula S, Martinez-Alés G, Rutherford C, et al. County-level estimates of suicide mortality in the USA: a modelling study. *Lancet Public Health*. Mar 2023;8(3):e184-e193. doi:10.1016/s2468-2667(22)00290-0
- 5. Favril L, Yu R, Geddes JR, Fazel S. Individual-level risk factors for suicide mortality in the general population: an umbrella review. *Lancet Public Health*. Nov 2023;8(11):e868-e877. doi:10.1016/s2468-2667(23)00207-4
- Bossarte RM, Ziobrowski HN, Benedek DM, et al. Mental Disorders, Gun Ownership, and Gun Carrying Among Soldiers After Leaving the Army, 2016-2019. Am J Public Health. Oct 2021;111(10):1855-1864. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2021.306420
- 7. Tsai J, Testa A, Pietrzak RH, Elbogen EB. Prevalence and characteristics associated with firearm ownership among low-income U.S. veterans. *Mil Psychol*. Mar-Apr 2023;35(2):132-141. doi:10.1080/08995605.2022.2086415

